

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/008,392	11/13/2001	Ioannis Pavlidis	H0002443-01	3013
128	7590 09/03/2003			
HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC.			EXAMINER	
101 COLUMBIA ROAD P O BOX 2245			DEJESUS, LYDIA M	
MORRISTO	MORRISTOWN, NJ 07962-2245		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2859	
			DATE MAILED: 09/03/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/008,392	PAVLIDIS, IOANNIS			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Lydia M. De Jesús	2859			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 08 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). 	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		separate, timely filed amendment			
. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	proved by the Examiner.			
.⊠ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). <u>5</u> .					
10. Other:	P	\checkmark			
	Diego Gu Supervisory Pat				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01)

Technology Center 2800

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 10/008,392



Application No.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

With respect to Applicant's argument stating that Anbar does not transform thermal image data to change of blood flow rate: This argument is not persuasive because claim 1, for example, recites "transforming the thermal image data to blood flow rate data for use in determining whether the person is deceptive or non-deceptive, wherein transforming the thermal image data comprises determining change of blood flow rate" and since the HST parameter determined by Anbar depends to an extent on blood flow, a change in said HST parameter will reflect a change in blood flow rate, as discussed in the Final Action. It is further noted upon review of Applicant's remarks with respect to the book "The Master of Disguise" and the submission of relevant portions of the book entitled "Remote Sensing, Principles and Interpretation", both references have been considered by the examiner. An updated copy of the information disclosure statement filed on September 20, 2003 is enclosed in this action.